Taiwan Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System

I. Preface

The "nosocomial infection" is limited to describing infections that acquired in hospitals, while the "healthcare-associated infection" (HAI) generally refers to infections that patients acquire while receiving treatment for medical or surgical conditions. HAIs may occur in all settings of care, including hospitals, long-term care facilities, homecare facilities, or outpatient departments. In order to respond to continuous evolving in the contents of medical services and the expansion of surveillance range, "healthcare-associated infection" instead of "nosocomial infection" was commonly used internationally as well as in the definition of infection surveillance in the acute care settings that published by the US CDC in 2008. To monitor the occurrence of HAIs effectively, to evaluate the epidemiologic trend of HAIs in Taiwan, and to analyze surveillance data using well-recognized indicators, so that all the information could be made use of collectively to serve as important references for policy making, Taiwan CDC had revised and launched the Taiwan Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System (TNIS System) in 2007. Moreover, strengthening in functions and the utility of the surveillance system is continuously going on. TNIS system not only helps to gather demographic data as well as laboratory results of pathogen identified and antimicrobial susceptibility test for each HAI case, but also provides simple analytical function, so that reporting hospitals can analyze their own data on line as a reference in developing quality improvement initiatives.

II. Objectives

1. Establish the epidemiological database of HAI in Taiwan
2. Discovery of HAI trends
3. Facilitation of inter- and intra-hospital comparisons that can be used for quality improvement activities
4. Assistance for hospitals in developing the appropriate surveillance mechanism that permits timely recognition of infection control problems

III. Reporting methods, data analysis, and feedback

TNIS system adopts voluntary reporting, and each hospital may provide their data either through web-based entry or convey their data electronically through interchange platform. The web-based report mechanism mainly serves for the

hospitals which lack HAI surveillance system of their own. Hospital staff enters the HAI data on the TNIS system website directly. The other mechanism, conveying surveillance data electronically through interchange platform, serves for the hospitals which had built their own HAI surveillance system. However, to enable interoperability between hospital information systems (HIS) and TNIS system, infection control practitioner has to work on vocabularies mapping from local to standard codes and hospital information technology staff has to bridge the connection between the two systems and make the electronic data pack in a standard format according to the working instruction issued by Taiwan CDC. Through this mechanism, surveillance data could be routinely transferred from hospital information systems to the TNIS system automatically. This can save the hospital staff a lot of time because they would not need to repeatedly enter the data to both of hospital surveillance system and TNIS system. At present, more than 500 hospitals enrolled in TNIS system. Hospitals may use TNIS system to manage HAI cases and generate individual hospital reports. Also, Taiwan CDC periodically feedback hospitals with national report as a reference for inter- and intra-hospital comparisons, hope to facilitate hospitals to improve their quality in controlling HAIs and to safeguard the wellbeing of healthcare workers and the general public.

IV. Healthcare-associated infection surveillance data analysis content

1. Number of medical centers and regional hospitals contributing ICU HAI data in this report in 2019.
2. Distribution of HAI rates by type of location in the ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals in 2019.
3. Distribution of device-associated infection rates in the ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals in 2019.
4. Distribution of major sites of HAI in ICU patients from medical centers and regional hospitals in 2019.
5. Common pathogens of HAI for patients in the ICUs of medical centers in 2019.
6. Common pathogens of HAI for patients in the ICUs of regional hospitals in 2019.
7. Antimicrobial resistance proportions of selected pathogens of HAI in the ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals in 2019.

V. Surveillance method and main results

All the analytical results in this report were derived from TNIS system database with data updated to July 20, 2020. In 2019, there were 22 medical centers (190 ICU

units) and 82 regional hospitals (268 ICU units) reported both HAI cases and the number of patient-days to TNIS system for at least one calendar month (Table 10). The distributions of HAI rate ((number of HAIs/number of patient-days) ×1000‰) in ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals are shown in Table 11. There were 5,020 episodes of HAI events occurred during 833,648 patient-days in the ICUs of 21 medical centers; the rate of infections was 6.0‰. However, in the ICUs of the 82 regional hospitals, there were 4,097 episodes of HAI events occurred during 852,459 patient-days; the rate of infections was 4.8‰. The HAI rates of ICUs were higher in medical centers than those in regional hospitals by corresponding types of ICU. The distributions of device-associated infection rate in ICUs ((number of device-associated infections/ number of device-days) ×1000‰) are shown in Figure 2. The pooled mean of central line-associated bloodstream infection (CLABSI) rates was 3.5‰ in medical centers and 2.7‰ in regional hospitals, and the pooled mean of catheter-associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI) rates were 3.0‰ and 2.5‰ respectively, the rate of CAUTI and the rate of CLABSI in ICUs of medical centers are higher than those in regional hospitals; the pooled mean of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) rates in regional hospitals is higher than that in medical centers, which are 0.8‰ and 0.6‰ respectively.

The distribution of site-specific HAIs in ICUs is shown in Table 12, with the bloodstream infections topped the list in medical centers (41.9%), followed by urinary tract (33.0%), and other (11.8%). In regional hospitals, the urinary tract infections topped the list (38.8%), followed by bloodstream infections (35.1%), and pneumonia (14.3%).The common pathogens for HAIs in ICUs are shown in Table 13 and Table 14. The top three pathogens in the ICUs were *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus faecium* in medical centers and *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Candida albicans* in regional hospitals. The proportions of antimicrobial resistance among selected pathogens identified from patients in the ICUs with HAIs are shown in Figure 3. In the ICUs of medical centers, the proportion of *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolates those were resistant to carbapenem (CRAB) is 74.2%, the proportion of *K. pneumoniae* isolates those were resistant to carbapenem (CRKP) is 40.0%, the proportion of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates those were resistant to carbapenem (CRPA) is 25.5%, the proportion of *Enterococci* isolates those were resistant to vancomycin (VRE) is 48.1%, and the proportion of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates those were resistant to oxacillin (MRSA) is 61.9%. Meanwhile, the antimicrobial resistance proportions of selected pathogens isolated from patients acquired HAIs in the ICUs of regional hospitals were 74.4%, 34.3%, 17.8%, 47.0% and 62.7% for CRAB, CRKP, CRPA, VRE and MRSA, respectively.

VI. 2019 Data analysis of HAI in the ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals

Table 10 Number of medical centers and regional hospitals contributing ICU HAI data in this report, 2019

Hospital level	1st Quarter		2nd Quarter		3rd Quarter		4th Quarter	
	No. of hospitals	No. of HAIs	No. of hospitals	No. of HAIs	No. of hospitals	No. of HAIs	No. of hospitals	No. of HAIs
Medical center	22	1,291	22	1,298	22	1,280	21	1,217
Regional hospital	81	1,080	79	990	82	1047	81	992

Note: Data updated to 2020/7/20

Table 11 Distribution of healthcare-associated infection rates by type of locations in the ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals, 2019

Hospital level	Type of locations	No. of units[1]	No. of HAIs	Patient -days	HAI Rate[2] (‰)	Percentile		
						25th	50th	75th
Medical center	Medical ICU	46(46)	1,748	231,323	7.6	4.8	6.2	10.0
	Surgical ICU	63(61)	1,697	249,232	6.8	5.4	6.7	8.7
	Cardiology ICU	15(15)	364	66,723	5.5	-	5.3	-
	Pediatric ICU	43(43)	415	171,661	2.4	1.1	1.9	3.8
	Medical/surgical ICU	23(23)	796	114,709	6.9	4.3	5.9	9.2
	Total	190(188)	5,020	833,648	6.0	3.3	5.6	8.4
Regional hospital	Medical ICU	52(50)	1,108	249,493	4.4	2.8	3.8	5.6
	Surgical ICU	48(46)	1,025	168,690	6.1	3.8	5.3	7.8
	Cardiology ICU	11(8)	132	27,955	4.7	-	3.9	-
	Pediatric ICU	67(58)	58	54,578	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.3
	Medical/surgical ICU	90(85)	1,774	351,743	5.0	3.1	4.5	6.4
	Total	268(247)	4,097	852,459	4.8	1.7	3.8	5.9

Note: 1. Units with patient-days<50 are not included in percentile distribution; the number in parentheses is the number of units meeting minimum requirement for percentile distribution.

2. The number of units<20 only provide 50th percentile distribution; the number of units≤1 not provide percentile distribution.

3. Healthcare-associated infection rate= (number of HAIs/number of patient-days) ×1000‰. For every unit, monthly data was included for analysis only when the patient days and number of HAI cases were both available.



Note: 1. device-associated infection rate= (number of HAIs/number of device-days) ×1000‰;
2. UTI, urinary tract infection; BSI, bloodstream infection;
3. Units with device-days<50 are not included in percentile distribution; the number in parentheses is the number of units meeting minimum requirement for percentile distribution.

Figure 2 Distribution of device-associated infection rates in the ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals, 2019

Table12 Distribution of major types of healthcare-associated infection in the ICU patients from medical centers and regional hospitals, 2019

Types of infection	Medical center		Regional hospital	
	No.	%	No.	%
Bloodstream	2132	41.9	1443	35.1
Urinary tract	1679	33.0	1596	38.8
Pneumonia	454	8.9	586	14.3
Surgical site	222	4.4	205	5.0
Other	599	11.8	279	6.8
Total	5,086	100	4,109	100

Note: proportion of specific infection type= (number of specific infection type /number of overall infection)×100%

Table 13 Common pathogens of healthcare-associated infections in the ICUs of medical centers, 2019

Pathogens	Total		Types of Infection									
			Bloodstream		Urinary tract		Pneumonia		Surgical site		Others	
	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.
Klebsiella pneumoniae	1	647	1	310	6	184	2	71	2	42	4	40
Escherichia coli	2	509	5	123	1	328	8	13	4	28	9	17
Enterococcus faecium	3	502	2	247	4	189	23	1	3	35	5	30
Candida spp.	4	448	3	238	5	188	23	1	16	4	9	17
Candida albicans	5	430	7	122	3	258	13	6	9	14	5	30
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	6	382	5	123	7	113	1	74	1	44	7	28
Yeast-like	7	378	20	26	2	318	16	4	7	16	11	14
Acinetobacter baumannii	8	330	4	210	10	43	3	39	9	14	8	24
Enterobacter spp.	9	206	10	103	9	50	6	24	6	20	13	9
E.cloacae		127		64		29		18		12		4
Other *Enterobacter* spp. or NOS		79		39		21		6		8		5
Enterococcus faecalis	9	206	11	83	8	89			5	23	12	11
OTHERS		1,807		1,011		162		177		130		327
Total		5,845		2,596		1,922		410		370		547

Note: 1. Isolates of the same species of bacteria, regardless of antimicrobial susceptibility pattern, are counted only once per patient per infection. That is, no duplicate isolates are included.
2. NOS: not otherwise specified.

Table 14 Common pathogens of healthcare-associated infections in the ICUs of regional hospitals, 2019

Pathogens	Total		Types of Infection									
			Bloodstream		Urinary tract		Pneumonia		Surgical site		Others	
	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.
Escherichia coli	1	512	5	101	1	350	7	20	2	31	10	10
Klebsiella pneumoniae	2	509	1	197	4	175	3	92	3	30	7	15
Candida albicans	3	446	8	89	2	304	8	18	8	19	5	16
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	4	384	9	88	6	131	1	111	1	38	5	16
Acinetobacter baumannii	5	354	2	155	9	54	2	93	3	30	4	22
Enterococcus faecium	6	345	3	116	3	191	16	3	6	24	9	11
Candida spp.	7	284	6	100	5	161	15	4	12	7	8	12
Staphylococcus aureus	8	200	4	104	13	13	4	43	9	14	2	26
Enterobacter spp.	9	192	10	87	11	43	5	31	7	22	11	9
E.cloacae		140		70		32		16		14		8
Other *Enterobacter* spp. or NOS		52		17		11		15		8		1
Enterococcus faecalis	10	175	11	60	8	88			5	25	16	2
OTHERS		1,214		579		312		104		89		130
Total		4,615		1,676		1,822		519		329		269

Note: 1. Isolates of the same species of bacteria, regardless of antimicrobial susceptibility pattern, are counted only once per patient per infection. That is, no duplicate isolates are included.
2. NOS: not otherwise specified.



Note: 1. "Antimicrobial resistant %" indicates the % of Isolates with susceptibility tested to be intermediate or resistant to the antimicrobial specified.

2. CRAB: carbapenem (imipenem or meropenem)-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*;
CRKP: carbapenem (imipenem, meropenem, or ertapenem)-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*;
CRPA: carbapenem (imipenem or meropenem)-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*;
VRE: vancomycin-resistant *enterococci* (*Enterococcus faecalis*, *Enterococcus faecium*...etc.);
MRSA: oxacillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Figure 3 Antimicrobial resistances of selected pathogens of healthcare-associated infections in the ICUs of medical centers(MC) and regional hospitals(RH), 2019