Taiwan Healthcare-associated infection and Antimicrobial resistance Surveillance System

I. Preface

The "nosocomial infection" is limited to describing infections that acquired in hospitals, while the "healthcare-associated infection" (HAI) generally refers to infections that patients acquire while receiving treatment for medical or surgical conditions. HAIs may occur in all settings of care, including hospitals, long-term care facilities, homecare facilities, or outpatient departments. In order to respond to continuous evolving in the contents of medical services and the expansion of surveillance range, "healthcare-associated infection" instead of "nosocomial infection" was commonly used internationally as well as in the definition of infection surveillance in the acute care settings that published by the US CDC in 2008. To monitor the occurrence of HAIs effectively, to evaluate the epidemiologic trend of HAIs in Taiwan, and to analyze surveillance data using well-recognized indicators, so that all the information could be made use of collectively to serve as important references for policy making, Taiwan CDC had launched the Taiwan Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System (TNIS System) in 2007 and revised to Taiwan Healthcare-associated infection and Antimicrobial resistance Surveillance System (THAS System) in 2020. Moreover, strengthening in functions and the utility of the surveillance system is continuously going on. THAS system not only helps to gather demographic data as well as laboratory results of pathogen identified and antimicrobial susceptibility test for each HAI case, but also provides simple analytical function, so that reporting hospitals can analyze their own data on line as a reference in developing quality improvement initiatives.

II. Objectives

- 1. Establish the epidemiological database of HAI in Taiwan
- 2. Discovery of HAI trends
- 3. Facilitation of inter- and intra-hospital comparisons that can be used for quality improvement activities
- 4. Assistance for hospitals in developing the appropriate surveillance mechanism that permits timely recognition of infection control problems

III. Reporting methods, data analysis, and feedback

THAS system adopts voluntary reporting, and each hospital may provide their data either through web-based entry or convey their data electronically through interchange platform. The web-based report mechanism mainly serves for the hospitals which lack HAI surveillance system of their own. Hospital staff enters the HAI data on the THAS system website directly. The other mechanism, conveying surveillance data electronically through interchange platform, serves for the hospitals which had built their own HAI surveillance system. However, to enable interoperability between hospital information systems (HIS) and THAS system, infection control practitioner has to work on vocabularies mapping from local to standard codes and hospital information technology staff has to bridge the connection between the two systems and make the electronic data pack in a standard format according to the working instruction issued by Taiwan CDC. Through this mechanism, surveillance data could be routinely transferred from hospital information systems to the THAS system automatically. This can save the hospital staff a lot of time because they would not need to repeatedly enter the data to both of hospital surveillance system and THAS system. At present, more than 500 hospitals enrolled in THAS system. Hospitals may use THAS system to manage HAI cases and generate individual hospital reports. Also, Taiwan CDC periodically feedback hospitals with national report as a reference for inter- and intra-hospital comparisons, hope to facilitate hospitals to improve their quality in controlling HAIs and to safeguard the wellbeing of healthcare workers and the general public.

IV. Healthcare-associated infection surveillance data analysis content

- Number of medical centers and regional hospitals contributing ICU HAI data in this report in 2020.
- 2. Distribution of HAI rates by type of location in the ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals in 2020.
- 3. Distribution of device-associated infection rates in the ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals in 2020.
- 4. Distribution of major sites of HAI in ICU patients from medical centers and regional hospitals in 2020.
- 5. Common pathogens of HAI for patients in the ICUs of medical centers in 2020.
- 6. Common pathogens of HAI for patients in the ICUs of regional hospitals in 2020.
- 7. Antimicrobial resistance proportions of selected pathogens of HAI in the ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals in 2020.

V. Surveillance method and main results

All the analytical results in this report were derived from THAS system database with data updated to May 6, 2021. In 2020, there were 22 medical centers (192 ICU units) and 81 regional hospitals (259 ICU units) reported both HAI cases and the number of patient-days to THAS system for at least one calendar month (Table 10). The distributions of HAI rate ((number of HAIs/number of patient-days) ×1000%) in ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals are shown in Table 11. There were 4,980 episodes of HAI events occurred during 828,279 patient-days in the ICUs of 22 medical centers; the rate of infections was 6.0%. However, in the ICUs of the 81 regional hospitals, there were 3,700 episodes of HAI events occurred during 841,079 patient-days; the rate of infections was 4.4%. The HAI rates of ICUs were higher in medical centers than those in regional hospitals by corresponding types of ICU. The distributions of device-associated infection rate in ICUs ((number of device-associated infections/ number of device-days) ×1000‰) are shown in Figure 2. The pooled mean of central line-associated bloodstream infection (CLABSI) rates was 3.7% in medical centers and 2.6% in regional hospitals, and the pooled mean of catheter-associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI) rates were 3.1% and 2.5% respectively, the rate of CAUTI and the rate of CLABSI in ICUs of medical centers are higher than those in regional hospitals; the pooled mean of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) rates in regional hospitals is higher than that in medical centers, which are 0.8% and 0.6% respectively.

The distribution of site-specific HAIs in ICUs is shown in Table 12, with the bloodstream infections topped the list in medical centers (43.2%), followed by urinary tract (33.4%), and other (11.1%). In regional hospitals, the urinary tract infections topped the list (38.8%), followed by bloodstream infections (35.6%), and pneumonia (13.6%). The common pathogens for HAIs in ICUs are shown in Table 13 and Table 14. The top three pathogens in the ICUs were Klebsiella pneumonia, Enterococcus faecium, Escherichia coli in medical centers and Candida albicans, Klebsiella pneumonia, Escherichia coli in regional hospitals. The proportions of antimicrobial resistance among selected pathogens identified from patients in the ICUs with HAIs are shown in Figure 3. In the ICUs of medical centers, the proportion of *Acinetobacter* baumannii isolates those were resistant to carbapenem (CRAB) is 74.2%, the proportion of K. pneumoniae isolates those were resistant to carbapenem (CRKP) is 41.0%, the proportion of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates those were resistant to carbapenem (CRPA) is 20.3%, the proportion of *Enterococci* isolates those were resistant to vancomycin (VRE) is 44.8%, and the proportion of Staphylococcus aureus isolates those were resistant to oxacillin (MRSA) is 53.7%. Meanwhile, the

antimicrobial resistance proportions of selected pathogens isolated from patients acquired HAIs in the ICUs of regional hospitals were 78.1%, 35.9%, 19.4%, 45.0% and 68.3% for CRAB, CRKP, CRPA, VRE and MRSA, respectively.

VI. 2020 Data analysis of HAI in the ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals

Table 10 Number of medical centers and regional hospitals contributing ICU HAI data in this report, 2020

l loonital	1 st Qu	ıarter	2 nd Qι	ıarter	3 rd Qu	arter	4 th Quarter		
Hospital level	No. of hospitals	No. of HAIs	No. of hospitals	No. of HAIs	No. of hospitals	No. of HAIs	No. of hospitals	No. of HAIs	
Medical center	22	1,286	22	1,200	22	1,327	22	1,392	
Regional hospital	81	939	80	941	81	1037	80	986	

Note: Data updated to 2021/5/6


Table 11 Distribution of healthcare-associated infection rates by type of locations in the ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals, 2020

Hospital	Type of locations	No. of	No. of	Patient	HAI Rate ²	Percentile				
level	Type of locations	units ¹	HAIs	-days	(‰)	25th	50th	75th		
Medical	Medical ICU	47(45)	1,697	222,714	7.6	4.6	6.4	8.4		
center	Surgical ICU	66(63)	1,692	244,557	6.9	5.0	6.8	8.6		
	Cardiology ICU	14(14)	421	63,525	6.6	-	6.3	-		
	Pediatric ICU	42(42)	379	170,896	2.2	1.1	1.8	2.9		
	Medical/surgical ICU	23(23)	791	126,587	6.2	4.2	6.1	7.3		
	Total	192(187)	4,980	828,279	6.0	3.4	5.5	7.7		
Regional	Medical ICU	50(48)	1,035	239,101	4.3	2.6	4.5	5.5		
hospital	Surgical ICU	49(46)	965	179,688	5.4	3.6	5.2	6.1		
	Cardiology ICU	12(9)	148	30,084	4.9	-	-	-		
	Pediatric ICU	67(57)	72	59,079	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.6		
	Medical/surgical ICU	86(80)	1,480	333,127	4.4	2.8	3.8	5.5		
	Total	259(235)	3,700	841,079	4.4	1.7	3.6	5.4		

Note: 1. Units with patient-days<50 are not included in percentile distribution; the number in parentheses is the number of units meeting minimum requirement for percentile distribution.

^{2.} The number of units<20 only provide 50th percentile distribution; the number of units≤10 not provide percentile distribution.

^{3.} Healthcare-associated infection rate= (number of HAIs/number of patient-days) ×1000‰. For every unit, monthly data was included for analysis only when the patient days and number of HAI cases were both available.



Note: 1. device-associated infection rate= (number of HAIs/number of device-days) ×1000‰;

- 2. UTI, urinary tract infection; BSI, bloodstream infection;
- 3. Units with device-days<50 are not included in percentile distribution; the number in parentheses is the number of units meeting minimum requirement for percentile distribution.

Figure 2 Distribution of device-associated infection rates in the ICUs of medical centers and regional hospitals, 2020

Table12 Distribution of major types of healthcare-associated infection in the ICU patients from medical centers and regional hospitals, 2020

Types of infection	Med	lical center	Reg	Regional hospital			
	No.	%	No.	%			
Bloodstream	2246	43.2	1390	35.6			
Urinary tract	416	8.0	531	13.6			
Pneumonia	1741	33.4	1516	38.8			
Surgical site	225	4.3	174	4.5			
Other	577	11.1	292	7.5			
Total	5,205	100	3,903	100			

Note: proportion of specific infection type= (number of specific infection type /number of overall infection)×100%

Common pathogens of healthcare-associated infections in the ICUs of Table 13 medical centers, 2020

				Types of Infection									
Pathogens	Total		Bloodstream		Urinary tract		Pneumonia		Surgical site		Others		
	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	
Klebsiella pneumoniae	1	651	1	353	1	63	6	159	2	37	4	39	
Enterococcus faecium	2	505	2	219	-	-	4	210	4	34	3	42	
Escherichia coli		485	10	110	7	15	2	299	1	39	8	22	
Other Candida spp. or NOS	4	433	3	205	9	7	5	197	12	7	10	17	
Candida albicans	5	398	5	124	9	7	3	236	8	13	9	18	
Yeast-like	6	368	21	16	20	2	1	330	12	7	12	13	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	7	364	9	115	1	63	7	125	4	34	6	27	
Acinetobacter baumannii	8	314	4	172	4	52	9	49	10	10	5	31	
Enterococcus faecalis	9	250	11	101	-	-	8	97	3	35	10	17	
Enterobacter spp.	10	205	6	119	8	10	10	41	6	26	14	9	
E.cloacae		128		83		6		21		14		4	
Other Enterobacter spp. or NOS		77		36		4		20		12		5	
OTHERS		1,920		1,151		193		159		124		293	
Total		5,893		2,685		412		1,902		366		528	


Note: 1. Isolates of the same species of bacteria, regardless of antimicrobial susceptibility pattern, are counted only once per patient per infection. That is, no duplicate isolates are included.

Table 14 Common pathogens of healthcare-associated infections in the ICUs of regional hospitals, 2020

			Types of Infection									
Pathogens	Total		Bloodstream		Urinary tract		Pneumonia		Surgical site		Others	
	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.	Rank	No.
Candida albicans	1	453	5	94	8	15	1	318	9	15	9	11
Klebsiella pneumoniae	2	446	1	191	3	70	5	140	4	22	6	23
Escherichia coli	3	435	10	69	7	16	2	305	2	28	7	17
Enterococcus faecium	4	343	4	115	-	-	3	191	6	21	8	16
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	4	343	9	76	2	86	6	119	1	37	3	25
Acinetobacter baumannii	6	333	2	154	1	92	9	44	7	19	4	24
Other Candida spp. or NOS	7	314	3	117	10	7	4	177	11	6	13	7
Enterobacter spp.	8	180	6	91	6	21	11	36	4	22	11	10
E.cloacae		127		66		13		26		14		8
Other Enterobacter spp. or NOS		53		25		8		10		8		2
Enterococcus faecalis	9	165	13	45	-	-	8	88	3	26	14	6
Staphylococcus aureus	10	164	8	85	5	35	12	14	11	6	4	24
OTHERS		1,204		575		108		292		81		148
Total		4,380		1,612		450		1,724		283		311

Note: 1. Isolates of the same species of bacteria, regardless of antimicrobial susceptibility pattern, are counted only once per patient per infection. That is, no duplicate isolates are included. 2. NOS: not otherwise specified.

^{2.} NOS: not otherwise specified.



Note: 1. "Antimicrobial resistant %" indicates the % of Isolates with susceptibility tested to be intermediate or resistant to the antimicrobial specified.

2. CRAB: carbapenem (imipenem or meropenem)-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii; CRKP: carbapenem (imipenem, meropenem, or ertapenem)-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae; CRPA: carbapenem (imipenem or meropenem)-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa; VRE: vancomycin-resistant enterococci (Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium...etc.); MRSA: oxacillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus.

Figure 3 Antimicrobial resistances of selected pathogens of healthcare-associated infections in the ICUs of medical centers(MC) and regional hospitals(RH), 2020